Approved For Release 1999/99/07: CIA-RDP65-00005R000200020016-4

OGC 62-0216

2 February 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Support)

SUBJECT:

Conflicts of Interest - Advisory Committees - Scientific Advisors

1. This memorandum is for information.

2. On 2 February I discussed with the Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, the situation of this Agency with regard to the use of consultants and advisory committees in the light of the opinion which the Office of Legal Counsel had given to the Atomic Energy Commission on 10 March 1959. I described generally the manner in which we use advisory committees and consultants and specifically pointed out the situation of the Technical Services Division's Research Board. I said that in our earlier review in 1959 we had felt there was no problem of conflicts of interest but concern had arisen in view of the more stringent requirements of the ruling to the Atomic Energy Commission. I said I felt our problem was different from that of the Atomic Energy Commission and queried whether we needed to apply the stricter rule. I was informed that the Office of Legal Counsel was presently developing regulations in this field of consultants and advisors for issuance by the President and that they felt these regulations, which would be quite specific, would permit us to continue our present practices. They felt we should take no action in regard to our present system and practices until the regulations were published, at which time we could determine whether there were any appearance of a violation. I teld the Department of Justice representatives that we would complete our survey, bringing up to date our utilization of advisory committees and consultants, and would study the results in the light of the regulations when they were issued.

S/ LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON
General Counsel

ec: IG

Approved For Release 1999/09/07 : CIA-RDP65-00005R000200020016-4

SCIENTISTS TO GET U.S. ETHICS CODE

It Will Seek to Clarify Role of Part-Time Consultants 25 JAN-62

By JOHN W. FINNEY

of conduct governing the activities of scientists serving as advisers to the Government.

e code would seek to clarify the conflict-on-interest problem; con ronting private scientists who are part-time consultants.

would dilineate the permisnon-Governmental activisibl of the scientific advisers the personal information and they must supply the Government.

is expected that the code d require that advisers prothe Government with a list heir outside activities, such onsultancies for Government con ractors, and their financial rests, such as stockholdings.

ne objective would be to keep the Government informed of poten ial conflict of interest, as as to remove some of the now resting almost completely on the scientists for avo ding a violation of the conflic -of-interest laws.

bout the only requirement undw imposed on scientists is that they disqualify themselves n matters in which they have a financial interest.

The code would be a stopgap me sure until Congress can pass slation strengthening, clarig and modifying the present fyi conflict-of-interests laws, most of which were passed in the l War period to deal with profiteers.

t the request of the Admination, the House last year

Continued on Page 16, Column 4

CPYRGHT

SCIENTISTS TO GET NEW ETHICS CODE

GPYRGHT

passed legislation modernizing the conflict-of-interest laws, and the matter is now pending the Senate Judiciary Committee. The legislation would etablish special provisions corering Government advisers and give the Government authority to grant pecial exemptions.

The dilemma that the Administration is trying to resolve in the new sede and the proposed modification of the law is now modification.

U.S. Could Lose Advisers

decided, however, that the conflict-of-interest problem is too interest. presing to wait for a change in istrative steps can be taken to a new code is a recognition in clarify the present situation and high Administration circles that concede are inadequate regula- the Administration could be-

the Administration faces the politically embarassing.

possibility of losing many of its present scientific advisers. In recent weeks, according to officials, several of the advisers have raised the question as to whether they should resign to avoid any jossible violation of

Under a strict interpretation of the present laws, many of these advisers who are serving as consultants for Government contractors would be involved in a conflict-of-interest violation.

The dilemma that the Administration in the confliction in t

modification of the law is now to obtain the needed advice of Administration officials have these scientists and still not involve them in a legal conflict of

Another factor that has prethe law and that certain admin- cipitated the urgent drafting of to correct what officials now if corrective steps are not taken, come involved in a conflict-of-Without some clarification, interest scandal that could be

Approved For Releases In ᡶᢆᠿᢆᠿᢆŎŎĔĔੑੑੑਲ਼ੵਲ਼ੵ੨ੵੑੑ0**∮**020016-4 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP то NAME AND ADDRESS IG 3E04 Hqs 25X1A9a 3 onflicts of I make 4 **ACTION** DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY **APPROVAL** DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE **INFORMATION** SIGNATURE Remarks: Note: by phone, Horston Dais the new Of I paper would be out very fairly son.
This recommandation: we should continue our review, but make we change - Except - 120 De Flores malter - until the new Regulations FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE

Approved For Releaser1899/09/07 cGA-RDR65-00005R00020016-4